

Workshop 3 – Basic Framework

Chairs: Patricia L. Cameron, Robert Duvoisin, PhD, Mary O’Riordin

How to modernize (and keep updating) curricula and training while maintaining research and scholarship tenets?

Friday, June 9

Round One

11:00am

What key competencies (SKILLS, ABILITIES AND KNOWLEDGE) do trainees need to develop as part of their biomedical research education and (PhD) training?

Welcome and introduction

Break out groups will review and identify competencies

Groups report out competencies

Use Poll Everywhere for attendees to select top competencies

Discussion and Summary

Adjourn

Round Two (over laps with 3)

2:00pm

Identify strategies to “teach competencies”. Do different formats better suit certain topics?

Welcome, introduction and recap of session 1

Break out groups – Identify strategies for teaching competencies. How do they compare to current approaches? What do we need to do the same and/or different?

Groups report out

Discussion and Summary

Adjourn

Round Three (overlaps with 2)

3:45pm

Where do we introduce these key competencies– curricular and/or extracurricular?

Where are the opportunities for reinforcement and mastery beyond introduction?

Welcome, introduction and recap of sessions 1 – 2

Break out groups to expand on strategies from round 2 and map examples to the learning continuum

Groups report out

Discussion: *Consider how changes to the curriculum (to teach key competencies) can be accomplished while maintaining research and scholarship tenets, enhancing mastery of the subject and without increasing the time to degree.*

Adjourn

Saturday, June 10

Round Four

9:00am

Identify challenges and barriers for implementing strategies; propose solutions and/or share best practices

Welcome, introduction and recap of sessions 1 – 3

Break out groups to discuss

Groups report out

Discussion

Adjourn

Round Five

10:45am

What does success look like (with regard to teaching key competencies)?

How do we know that students have developed competencies?

What measurables, assessments, evaluations, milestones etc should be used?

Welcome, introduction and recap of sessions 1 – 4

Break out groups: groups will discuss and define success; discuss and propose measurables

Groups report out

Discussion

Adjourn

Round Six

2:00pm

Recap Session – Summary, Gap Analysis and Recommendations

Summary of previous sessions

Break out groups: will identify questions/gaps that arise from sessions 1-5

Propose recommendations and discuss final thoughts

Adjourn

Abstracts Submitted for Workshop 3:

- 1) Introducing Informational Interviewing Into Curriculum; Al-Ani, Zabinyakov, and Rancourt
- 2) An Interactive Competency Approach to Career Exploration and IDP Implementation; Barral, Niesel, and Fowler
- 3) An Integrated Curriculum and Community-Based Approach to Career Development; Fuhrmann, Thompson, Hall, Lane, Imbalzano, Zamore, Carruthers
- 4) Ibiology Ipert Courses for Graduate & Postdoctoral Training; Behrman, Schnoes, Griffin, McQuillen, Feliú-Mójer, Kirschner, Goodwin, and Vale
- 5) Use of a Grant Writing Class in Training PhD Students; Kahn, Conn, and Corbett
- 6) Society for Neuroscience Efforts to Enhance Awareness and Knowledge of Scientific Rigor in Neuroscience Trainees; Raver, Heintz, Sisk, DiCicco-Bloom
- 7) Collaboration Yields a Change of Culture to Enable Professional Development as an Integral Part of PhD Training; Varvayanis, Holmes
- 8) PACT: Postgraduate Advisors for Career Trainees; Vincent, Fontaine, and Wefes
- 9) Yale Ciencia Academy: Leveraging a Hispanic Science Network to Enhance Graduate Biomedical Training Career Success and Diversity; Feliu-Mojer and Guerrero-Medin
- 10) Modernizing the Graduate Biomedical Curriculum; Lane, Moore Baker, Munson, Tissenbaum, Silverman, Weaver, Zeldovich and Theurkauf